1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney		
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	WENDY THOMAS (NYBN 4315420) Special Assistant United States Attorney		
5 6	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102		
7	Telephone: (415) 436-6809 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: wendy.thomas@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for United States of America		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Criminal No. CR 3-07-70694 BZ	
14	Plaintiff,		
15	) v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME	
16	ROBERTO IMSON LAMMATAO, )	FROM DECEMBER 19, 2007 TO DECEMBER 26, 2007	
17 18	Defendant.		
19	)		
20	The parties appeared before the Honorable Maria Elena James on December 19, 2007.		
21	With the agreement of counsel for both parties the Court found and held as follows:		
22	1. The Court vacated the preliminary hearing date of December 6, 2007, setting a new		
23	preliminary hearing date on December 26, 2007, at 9:30 a.m. before the duty magistrate judge,		
24	extending the time for the preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1,		
25	and extending the 30-day time period for indictment and excluding time under the Speedy Trial		
26	Act from December 19, 2007, to December 26, 2007. The parties agree, and the Court finds and		
27	holds, as follows:		
28			

[PROPOSED[ ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70694 BZ

- 2. Counsel for defendant is reviewing the evidence to determine whether a pre-charge disposition is appropriate. Only after evaluating the evidence will counsel be in a position to evaluate what disposition is an appropriate one. He also believes it is his best interest to consult with an immigration attorney regarding this case.
- 3. Taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for indictment and excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failing to grant the continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court also finds that the ends of justice outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. <u>Id.</u> § 3161(h)(A).
- 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) vacates the December 19, 2007, preliminary hearing date, extends the time for a preliminary hearing until December 26, 2007, and sets a preliminary hearing before the duty magistrate on December 26, 2007, at 9:30 a.m., and (2) orders that the period from December 19, 2007, to December 26, 2007, be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal //

1	Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act (B)(iv).	calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4	DATED: 12/26/07	/s/
5		GILBERT EISENBERG Counsel for the defendant
6		
7	DATED: 12/24/07	WENDY THOMAS
8		Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
9 10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	DATED 1/22/03	
12	DATED: <u>1/23/08</u>	THE HON MARAZLENA JAMES United States Marastrate Judge
13		Sinted States-1419 Strate Stage
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28	[PROPOSED[ ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70694 BZ	3